

TOWN OF WINCHESTER PLANNING BOARD MEETING TUESDAY, MAY 17, 2022 @ 7:30PM REMOTE PARTICIPATION

Join Zoom Meeting:

 $\underline{https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82528093521?pwd=V1N3MER3US9LODJUbkNEOTNmNjQrQT09}$

Meeting ID: 825 2809 3521

Passcode: 251906

Join by Phone

(646) 558-8656

	BUSINESS
7:30PM	Open Meeting, Updates
7:35PM	North Main Street Corridor Study Listening Session with Form & Place
9:00PM	Adjourn

CORRESPONDENCE
None

	2022 MEETINGS
Tuesday	7:00PM Planning Board Meeting, Remote Participation`
May 24	
Tuesday	7:00PM Planning Board Meeting, Remote Participation
May 31	



MEMORANDUM

DATE: 11 March 2022

TO: Winchester Planning Board

CC: Brian Szekely, Town Planner

FROM: Michael A. Wang, AIA, LEED AP BD+C, Form + Place, Inc.

John M. Rufo, AIA, Form + Place, Inc.

RE: Winchester North Main Street Corridor

Per request of the Planning Board, Form + Place submits the following questions for consideration to help facilitate the refinement of underlying goals for the North Main Street Corridor ahead of our upcoming working session on Tuesday, May 17th:

1. Use & Character [1 zone or 2 zones (+ overlay district)]

GBD-2 [south] allows retail, restaurant, fast food [SP]. None of these uses are permitted in the GBD-3 [unless part of a SP for mixed-use]. Alternatively, lab [R&D] uses are allowed in GBD-3 [north] but not in GBD-2. Do these differences in currently permitted uses reflect the future vision for the corridor? Is use more important than character? Should the character of the corridor be consistent from north to south?

2. Public realm / Right-of-way [R.O.W.] vs. Parcel Development

How much of the future vision for the corridor is ultimately shaped by the quality [consistency / continuity] of the public realm [R.O.W. / Streetscapes] versus the character and/or use of the developments along its length?

3. Abutting Properties

Should the nature of the abutting properties / neighborhoods – general residence vs. multifamily or conservancy-institutional – behind the parcels fronting on North Main Street be a determinant of the type and/or scale of development allowed on specific GBD-2/GBD-3 parcels?

4. Standards vs. Guidelines

Would you prefer more prescriptive character-based design "standards" be incorporated into the zoning bylaw or be a separate set of design "guidelines" drafted to help shape building and site design parameters?



5. <u>Design Criteria</u>

How would you prioritize design criteria in terms of variables that are most critical to shaping future development along the corridor? [Please feel free to add others....]

- Building siting / Frontage
- Parking requirements & location
- Height / # of stories
- Allowable F.A.R. / Residential density
- Architectural character: massing / scale / articulation / materials

6. Housing

How important is it to incentivize mixed-income multi-family [or mixed-use] housing along the corridor? What should affordable housing goals be for this area? What density [units per acre] should be the maximum allowed?